

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/088,595	WATLING ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David A. Redding	1744	

**All Participants:**

(1) David A. Redding.

(2) Mr. Tangren.

**Status of Application: Pending**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 May 2005

**Time:** 1:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*23 and 38*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amend the method claim specify that the step of continuing to circulate the carrier gas with sterilant is through only one of the branches and the enclosure; and amend the apparatus claim to make clear the distinction over USP 5,876,664*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)